

This document can be downloaded from the internet at [Consultando.net/La-universidad-por-sus-fueros](http://Consultando.net/La-universidad-por-sus-fueros)

## PROPOSAL TO CHANGE THE POLITICAL SYSTEM FROM A POPULIST DEMOCRACY TO A NON-POPULIST DEMOCRACY

(The case of Hungary, Poland, Belarus, Russia, Venezuela, Chile, Argentina, France and the  
United States)

Walter H. Bruckman  
Department of Social Sciences  
University of Puerto Rico  
Cayey Campus  
October 29, 2020

### WHAT IS THE BEST FORM OF GOVERNMENT?

Common sense tells us that the best form of government should be that which allows us to select as rulers the best qualified people to analyze the economic, social and political problems of a country and provide the best solutions. Consequently, common sense tells us that the best form of government should be one that allows us to select as rulers the most intelligent individuals, with the best administrative skills, and with the most wisdom or experience.

Populist democracy is the worst form of government because it does not allow us to select the most intelligent people, with the best administrative skills, and with the most wisdom or experience as rulers.

### WHAT IS A POPULIST DEMOCRACY?

We define a populist democracy as that political system that has two characteristics: 1. The people, understood as the crowds or masses, maintain control of what the politicians who aspire to be elected say. That control is exercised through the constant threat of not voting for them if what they say is not pleasing to the ears of the masses or crowds. Furthermore 2. The people maintain control of what politicians say once they have been elected, under constant threat of not being reelected if what they say is not pleasant to the ears of the masses or crowds.

As a consequence of these two characteristics, we see that in a populist democracy what politicians say is constantly conditioned by the sympathy that they can provoke in the voters. Under that circumstance, the government does not govern since those who govern in real terms are the masses or multitudes. But the masses or multitudes are irrational<sup>1</sup> and, consequently, the government of the masses or multitudes is an irrational government. The behavior of the masses or crowds obeys the most primitive instincts and, consequently, their behavior is irrational and

---

<sup>1</sup> On this particular see: Gustave Le Bon "The Psychology of the Crowds" and Sigmund Freud "The Psychology of the Masses"

easily manipulated, since it is governed by demagogic speech and phrases or stereotypical expressions that produce pleasure when listening. Politicians, in order to achieve power, will have to tell the masses what the masses like best to hear and not what is best for them to hear. That is, they will not tell the masses what is best for them to hear from the point of view of serious analysis of the problems and their possible solutions that may require some kind of effort or austerity on the part of the community, but they will have to say the masses what, even if it is incorrect, they like to hear. Consequently, the masses or multitudes are by their nature irrational and highly manipulated by demagogues. A demagogue is defined as a person with great abilities to tell lies that are pleasant to the ears of the masses and that everyone believes. Consequently, a country where the masses or multitudes rule is self-degenerating and ends with the passing of time in anarchy. In the history of humanity, no populist democracy has lasted more than 450 years.

Contrary to popular belief, in a populist democracy the masses are not the victims of the politicians, but the other way around. Politicians are victims of crowds that force them to tell lies that they like the ear so that they vote for them or else they will be severely punished with what hurts them the most. If they don't tell lies, that is, if they don't behave like demagogues, the crowds punish them by denying them their vote.

#### A Political System Specially Designed To Select As Rulers Those Politicians With The Greatest Skills To Tell Lies

If someone spoke to us about the possibility of creating a political system specially designed to select as rulers the politicians with the greatest abilities to tell lies and that most people believe them, all the people would tell us that under no circumstances they would like to have a political system with those characteristics. However, populist democracy is a political system specially designed to select as rulers the best liar, that is, the best demagogue.

On the other hand, if someone were to tell us about the possibility of creating a political system specially designed so that politicians who are elected as rulers keep telling lies throughout the entire period of their administrative management, all of the people would say that Under no circumstances would they wish to have a political system with these characteristics.

However, populist democracy is a political system specially designed to severely punish the politician who, once elected, is not willing to continue telling lies and proposing nonsense, so that they keep telling the people the things they want to hear and not the ones that should be heard.

That is the case of the rulers in Puerto Rico and the United States. Examples in the United States are the protests in the cities of New York, Atlanta, Portland, Oakland, Seattle, Chicago, Los Angeles, etc. during the election year of 2020. It is a political system specially designed so that the selected ruler maintains himself during the period of his incumbency saying and proposing idiotic things, but that are pleasant to the ear, and punishing the elected politicians who say intelligent and sensible proposals on how to approach and solve economic and social problems, but that are not pleasant to the ear.

In populist democracies the political discourse that is constructed to analyze economic and social problems is constantly shaped and adjusted to be pleasing to the ears of the masses, that is, "politically correct" or else it is severely punished by irrational crowds.

### Populist Democracy and the Mass Media

Populist democracy not only prevents politicians from establishing objective analyzes as to how to solve economic and social problems, but also prevents the mass media from doing so. The design and content of the newscasts and programming is constantly and permanently conditioned to what is said pleasing the ear of the audience sector towards which the programming of the radio or television station is directed. That is, what is said must be pleasant to the ears of the crowds towards which it is directed so that no objective analysis can be established that correctly describes the economic and social problems, as well as their solutions, since any description and analysis of the various deponents constantly has the characteristic of a speech aimed at pleasing the ears of the crowds in each audience, rather than being objective. For example, it is palpable how journalists and radio and television programs constantly project a message with a single purpose and that is to obtain the largest possible audience ("reyting") since it is in the audience where the profits of mass communication companies are. The greatest "reyting" is that which is obtained when everything that is said has the purpose, not of describing the problems of society and their possible solutions, but the purpose of pleasing the ears of irrational crowds.

From the citation described above, it can be seen that populist democracy allows anyone to come to power with the money to pay for a message in the mass media that people would like to hear, even if it is a lie and goes in against the best interests of the welfare of the masses.

Apart from the mass media, commercial companies generally face the same situation. The companies that express a different opinion from the masses about the solution to any political or social problem have received in response the organized boycott of their products that results in great losses.

### WHAT CHARACTERISTICS SHOULD HAVE A POLITICAL SYSTEM BASED ON A NON-POPULIST DEMOCRACY?

A political system based on a non-populist democracy would be one that allows the people elect as representatives of the people or as rulers, the most intelligent people, with more administrative skills and with more wisdom or experience to solve economic and social problems. The intellectual capacities and the ability of government officials to solve the problems of economic growth and social improvements are, ultimately, what should matter when choosing rulers. It is these characteristics that result in the improvement of the well-being of the community. Furthermore, a political system based on a non-populist democracy would be one in which the rulers, once elected, can carry out analyzes of economic and social problems, as well as their solutions, without having to be punished by the vote of the multitudes or irrational masses eager to be told lies that please their ears.

## HOW TO TURN A POPULIST DEMOCRACY INTO A NON-POPULIST DEMOCRACY?

First, the government should be made up of a parliament of 30 to 35 representatives of the people. Later we will explain the why of that number. The parliament would elect a speaker of parliament from among its members who would serve as head of government and speaker of parliament. The people's representatives in Parliament could be elected for 15 years. We will explain why later. If the parliament were made up of 30 representatives of the people elected for 15 years, elections could be every 5 years and 10 parliamentarians would be elected in each election.

Second, in order to eliminate in a populist democracy that the 30 elected representatives of the people or politicians are the best liars or demagogues and not those with the best qualifications to govern, the following procedure should be followed: The politicians to be elected by the people must have previously demonstrated that they possess the best qualifications to govern by having the best scores on intelligence tests, administrative skills and experience. To this end, political parties should be eliminated as a source of candidates to be elected by the people and allow all those who want to aspire to be members of the parliament that would govern the country to do so by submitting to the battery of examinations that would have the purpose of determining who are the best qualified to govern. Of all those who take these exams, a shortlist of 30 to 40 people with the highest scores is selected.

Consequently, the 30 to 40 candidates to govern as representatives of the people in Parliament should have the characteristics of being those with the highest scores on the Stanford-Binet intelligence tests, the highest scores on the tests to measure administrative skills and the highest scores in administrative experience.

Once the 30 or 40 candidates with the highest scores are selected, they are given a reasonable time to present to the people their vision on how to improve the economy and the welfare of the population and then the people would vote to choose 10 of them as parliamentary representatives of the people. In each election period of 5 years, 10 representatives of the people would be elected so that every two election periods (10 years) the parliamentary majority can be removed if the people so decide.

### Why Should the Representatives of the People in the Government or Parliament be Elected for 15 Years?

Third, to eliminate in a populist democracy that elected politicians become victims or puppets of irrational crowds once elected, they are appointed for a fixed period of time without the right to reelection.

That is, for representative democracy to stop being populist, the representatives of the people in the Government or Parliament must be elected to govern for a single term without the right to reelection, such as the judges of the courts. In this way, once elected, the representatives will be able to exercise their own criteria when analyzing economic and social problems and determine

the measures to solve them, without being forced by the crowds to say and do what the masses like to hear or let it be done.

Fourth, the period of time in which the representatives of the people will be elected must be long enough for the elected politicians to be able to complete the projects they decide to implement. From this perspective, his election must be, at least, for 15 years without the right to reelection.

In this way, if the elections were every 5 years, then 10 representatives of the people in the Government or Parliament must be elected in each election, so that every 15 years, all the representatives of the people in the Government or Parliament were renewed.

In each election the people would vote to choose among the 30 to 40 candidates the 10 people who will form part of the parliament in each electoral period. If the Parliament was made up of 30 people and if the electoral period was every 5 years, then in each election 10 parliamentary representatives would be elected so that after 2 elections the majority of the parliament would be renewed. This feature would allow the crowds to renew every 2 elections the philosophy or economic and social policy of the parliament in case they did not like it.

The age to aspire to be a parliamentarian must be 35 years, since at that age human beings reach a level of maturity, experience and wisdom adequate for society to place their destiny in their hands.

#### Why should the Representatives of the People in the Government or Parliament not Exceed the number of 30?

Logical reasoning or analytical thinking in order to make decisions is a faculty that seems to be being lost or nullified as the number of people with whom one interacts increases. When a group is organized with the objective of analyzing and making decisions, it happens that the ability to reason objectively about things is lost as the size of the group increases. Apparently, the faculty to reason objectively is lost when affected by the psychological pressure that the group exerts on the individual. The larger the size of the group, the greater the force exerted on the objective reasoning of the individual so that said reasoning fits the collective behavior of the group. When the group becomes a crowd, the objective reasoning of the individuals is totally nullified and it becomes a totally irrational behavior and devoid of objectivity, no matter what the intelligence of the individual is measured in IQ.

To prevent the ruler's objective reasoning from being reduced as a consequence of the effect of pressure from the masses or crowds, the solution is to reduce the number of representatives of the people to a number that reduces or eliminates the effect of group pressure. What would be the optimal number of delegates to represent the people? There is no exact answer to this question. Experience, however, teaches us that when the size of the group exceeds 30 to 35 people, the Faculty to objectively deliberate and reason about the solution to problems is quickly lost. Consequently, the size of the people's representatives to organize the government should not exceed the size of 30 to 35 individuals.

Therefore, we propose that the size of Parliament be between 30 and 35 people.

To prevent them from using the power to benefit themselves, their lifetime income will be determined by the state.

### The Income or Salary of the Representatives of the People or Member of Parliament

The salary of the people's representatives or parliamentarians would become a life pension after their 15-year term ends. The level of his salary and pension will depend on the growth of the country's per capita income during the 15 years of his tenure. For example, the annual salary of the member of parliament could be 4 times the country's annual per capita income. If found guilty in acts of corruption, his pension would be reduced, and he would have to return to the State 3 times the benefits obtained from the act of corruption. He will not be able to have any other income throughout his life other than that of his salary and pension or assets that are not acquired with his salary and pension or income that does not come from the increase in the country's per capita income. Once his term ends, he becomes part of the parliament consultant body and will only be able to carry out work that does not carry remuneration. The level of his salary and pension should be attractive enough to attract talented people to aspire to the career of being a parliamentarian. Members of Parliament may not have kinship ties with the owners of any company contracted by Parliament.

### WHAT SHOULD THE STRUCTURE OF THE GOVERNMENT LOOK LIKE?

We have established how to convert a political system based on a populist democracy into a political system based on a non-populist democracy. The question that arises now is: what should the government structure be like? One thing is the structure of a political system and another is the structure of the Government. So far, we have discussed the structure of the political system. Next, we will discuss the structure of the Government.

The purpose of any government must be to obtain the maximum possible welfare for the population. The well-being of the population is associated with the satisfaction of the material and spiritual needs of the peoples. Five classes of human needs can be identified: 1. Needs for goods (items and services) 2. security needs: a) internal security (police, investigation agencies and courts) and b) external security (military, air, naval, space, intelligence agencies), 3. Sexual and reproductive needs, 4. Educational needs and 5. Spiritual needs.

The satisfaction of material needs is achieved through the production of goods and services. The satisfaction of internal security needs (law and order) is achieved through the creation of police and judicial institutions. The satisfaction of external security needs (wars) is achieved through the creation of military institutions that serve the purpose of protecting the population from the invasion of foreign peoples. Satisfaction of sexual needs is achieved through the creation of cultural and family institutions. The satisfaction of educational needs is achieved through the creation of educational institutions (schools, universities, mass media). The satisfaction of spiritual needs is achieved through the creation of institutions that generate a purpose for living

(religion, the family, the leader). When human beings do not find a purpose to live, a process of emotional and mental deterioration begins that eats away at physical health and produces suicides or self-destructive diseases. Sigmund Freud, the inventor of psychoanalysis, called it the death instinct, and modern psychiatry calls it depression. Hence the satisfaction of the spiritual needs of peoples are as important as the satisfaction of material needs. Consequently, religion is a matter of state and cannot be delegated. Consequently, there can be no room for justification of the doctrine of separation between Church and State.

The State or Government must reflect in its governmental structures the institutions to satisfy these 5 needs.

The governmental structure in terms of its institutions must reflect the ministries in charge of attending each of these 5 types of needs. The following diagram shows the institutions that should make up the Government.


### The Proposed Government Structure

The government structure must be made up of the set of institutions that will meet the satisfaction of the five needs of society. There would be eight institutions that would define the structure of government and they would be: 1. Executive branch, 2. Legislative branch, 3. Judicial branch, 4. Security branch, 5. Culture branch, 6. Educational branch, 7. Religious branch. and 8. Electoral Board.

The role of Parliament, as representatives of the people, would be to appoint officials that they will have to integrate all the branches and the electoral Board every 5 years.

That is, the Parliament will supervise the work of the Government, but the Government will be in charge of those appointed by the Parliament. This would prevent a bad government from being able to justify itself, since it would be the Parliament as representatives of the people that could judge the efficiency of the work of a government. Parliament could remove and reappoint any designation in the executive, legislative, educational and cultural branches, etc. of the Government but not in the judicial branch, whose members will be appointed for life.

The government diagram would be as follows:



The Executive Power

Executive power would be in the hands of the political party appointed by Parliament. The political parties would be private companies made up of economists, social scientists and



strategists. These companies would develop and submit proposals to implement the economic and social development plans that Parliament draws up. The Parliament will select every 5 years the political party or government private company that will govern in each branch of government once it evaluates its proposal designs to meet the goals of Parliament. The income of political parties as private companies would be determined by a special tax on national income GDP. So, if GDP increases, the profit of the political party or private government company would increase in the same proportion.

### The Legislative Power

The members of the legislative power would be 15 and they would be appointed for 30 years. They should be specialized in the history of the country's legislation. The task of the legislative power should not be to propose and approve laws of its own authorship, but rather to study and approve or reject laws that the executive power submits to them. The problem with populist democracies is that laws that are overturned over time because they fail to achieve the objectives for which they were made, are later proposed and approved again. In this way history repeats itself over and over again. This happens because after some time (40, 50 or 60 years) has passed, the new generations do not know the experiences of the past and they make the mistake of proposing and passing laws that repeat the mistakes of the past. An example of this are socialist laws that over time, after 20 or 40 years of being approved, impoverish the quality of life or well-being of the population by deteriorating productivity. Then a politician like Ronald Reagan in the United States or Margaret Thatcher in the United Kingdom comes and overthrows them, generating a recovery in productivity, but after 20 or 40 years the new generations that are unaware of that experience, once again propose and approve socialist measures already failed and repeating history. For example, such is the case of Bernie Sanders, Alexandria Ocasio Cortez, Elizabeth Warren or Kamala Harris in the United States. It is useless to explain to them that the socialist measures they propose reduce productivity and therefore the well-being of the population in the long term. The Noble Prize in economics Milton Friedman spent his life explaining that to politicians in America and died unable to change that behavior. What Friedman did not understand is that, in a populist democracy, politicians are victims of the masses or crowds who punish them with their vote if they do not tell them lies.

To avoid this problem, the legislative power must be composed of specialists in the history of laws and their function would not be to propose and approve laws but to study the laws that the executive power submits to them and approve them in case they are not repeating failed laws of the past. Consequently, the legislative power will have the function of approving the laws that the executive power submits to it within the context of being able to carry out its governmental project.

The executive power would be represented by the political party that the parliament, as representatives of the people, appointed as government for five years. As already indicated, this appointment would be based on the economic and social development projects that the different political parties, as private companies, present to parliament for evaluation. The political party with the best proposal, according to the judgment and evaluation of parliament as representatives of the people, would be the one that parliament would appoint as executive power.

Consequently, the laws that the executive branch will have to submit to the legislature to be approved would be those that allow it to materialize the proposal that said party submitted to parliament and that parliament approved.

The country's Constitution shall establish the economic system, the political system and the official religion of the country.

### The Religious Power

There will be the exercise of religious freedom, but religious education would be the official state religion. This means that people can practice whatever religion they want, but only the official state religion could be preached. The other religions can be practiced, but they cannot be preached, since religious education, like school education, would be a matter for the State. This means that if the state religion is Christianity, all Christian churches could preach, but not the other non-Christian religions that could be practiced on a personal level by each individual, but not preached.

### **CORRUPTION IS EASIER TO DECREE AND FIGHT IN A POLITICAL SYSTEM BASED ON UNPOPULIST DEMOCRACY**

Note that in this political system that we have described, based on a non-populist democracy, corruption is easier to decree and combat than in a political system based on a populist democracy, since the different political parties or companies appointed to govern in the various branches of government would be under the immediate supervision of the people's representatives in parliament, who would even have the power to fire them if they were found in acts of corruption.

In a populist democracy it is more difficult for a citizen or group of citizens to have access to the information necessary to detect acts of corruption and much more difficult for them to resort to the courts or protests so that they can be heard, and the official can be dismissed.

### **HOW CAN THE TRANSITION FROM A POPULIST DEMOCRACY TO A NON-POPULIST DEMOCRACY BE ACHIEVED?**

It is very difficult for a politician or a political party to win an election offering to the masses or crowds as a campaign commitment the proposal to change populist democracy for a non-populist democracy. This is because due to the very nature of populist democracy, crowds will prefer to hear opposition politicians offering them opposing populist ideas that are pleasing to the ears of the crowds. That is, logical reasoning can only be exercised at the level of individuals or in groups of individuals that do not exceed more than 30 to 35 people. As crowds are irrational, they will always prefer to hear demagogic politicians fill their ears with promises and flattering ideas, even though their lies lead to the perpetuation of a populist form of government that encourages anarchy, inefficiency, unproductiveness and corruption.

Given the problems described in the previous paragraph, the question to be answered is how to establish a non-populist democracy from a populist democracy? To answer this question, it is necessary to analyze who will be the opponents to the establishment of a non-populist democracy. There is a class of entrepreneurs who profit from generating soft coups in populist democracies. It is to be expected that such entrepreneurs will oppose the establishment of non-populist democracies. Consequently, the businessmen who will oppose the establishment of non-populist democracies belong to a group that makes great profits from organizing soft coups in those countries that have populist democracies and cannot do so in countries where there are non-populist democracies. These businessmen are dedicated to organizing protests around the world in countries with populist democracies with the aim of subverting the social order and generating soft coups. As we will see later, these entrepreneurs obtain extraordinary profits from the exercise of this practice. These entrepreneurs are the ones who promote around the world an ideology known as The Globalist Agenda. In opposition to the Globalist Agenda there is another ideology known as the Nationalist Agenda.

In what follows we will discuss the “modus operandis” to obtain extraordinary profits from the entrepreneurs that belong to the Globalist Agenda.

#### Soft Coups and Their Relation to the Globalist Agenda

Soft coups are financed and, therefore, generated by certain types of entrepreneurs that maximize their profits by placing in government political positions those politicians who will help them carry out their type of business. The aid they receive from these politicians, whom they manage to place in government positions by financing their political campaigns, allows them to increase their profits. With a part of these increases in profits, this type of entrepreneurs finances politicians who identify, defend and promote populist ideas and ideologies that serve in turn to manipulate the opinion of the masses or crowds to vote by those politicians. Through this manipulation of the opinion of the masses or crowds they manage to generate protests and generate disturbances that serve to produce mild coups. In this way, they are placing the politicians for whom they finance their political campaigns, in the different government positions from which they can benefit.

From the politicians who finance their political campaigns, these entrepreneurs are obtaining three types of benefits: 1. They are obtaining privileged information to make their investments and increase their profits 2. They are obtaining the establishment of government policies that are favorable to them to increase their earnings 3. They are obtaining the approval of legislation that is favorable to them to make their investments and increase their earnings.

#### What is the Business of Soft Coups?

The point is to look for any type of idea that is consistent with universal social values. For example, the value of equality, justice, non-discrimination, defense of the environment, etc., which are social values of universal acceptance. Then it goes on to identify groups of voters in

populist democracy who can be mobilized to vote for politicians who defend these values. For example, voting for politicians who defend the values of equality and justice by praising the ears of the voters with promises of socialist laws that go against the proper functioning of a capitalist market economy. Such is the case of politicians who promise to increase taxes on companies to provide free services to workers. This generates inefficiency and unproductiveness in the long term that makes these companies lose competitiveness and cannot sell their products, having to close or move to other countries with less tax burden. The long-term result is that workers end up being hurt by losing their jobs, but in the short term they will vote for politicians who flatter their ears with those promises. The politicians who promise free university education that, as we know, the salaried taxpayer who does not participate in that education will have to finance from their taxes. Another example is, voting for politicians who uphold the value of non-discrimination by praising the voters' ears with promises on the defense of non-discrimination against homosexuals (gender ideology), the defense of non-discrimination against women (ideology of radical feminism), the defense of racial non-discrimination (black lives matter), etc. Another example is voting for politicians who defend the value of defending the environment by forcing companies to use technologies that increase their production costs, but do not force foreign companies to do the same. The result is the loss of competitiveness of these companies and the transfer of these sources of employment from the countries that pollute the least to the countries that pollute the most (clean energy), etc.

### What Do Soft Coups Have to Do With the Globalist Agenda

In a capitalist market system, the goal of the entrepreneurs is to maximize their profit. There are two types of companies that profit from promoting the Globalist Agenda:

#### 1. Financial Companies

#### 2. High Technology Companies

1. There are entrepreneurs who are dedicated to investing in the stock market. Their earnings depend on the inside information they can obtain when making their investments. The owners of these financial capital companies obtain privileged information from the different government agencies and dependencies by financing the political campaigns of those politicians who promote the ideologies that serve to generate protests from the masses or crowds. These protests in turn serve to generate mild coups. To the extent that they finance the campaigns of these politicians and manage to place these politicians in government agencies and dependencies, to that extent they acquire control over the privileged information that these politicians provide them to make their investments and from which they obtain large profits. A part of these profits is passed on to their foundations, with which they continue to finance political campaigns. Such is the case with George Soros and his **Quantum Fund** and **Soros Fund Management**. From this type of companies, he obtains great profits from which they donate billions of dollars to the **Soros Foundation** and **Open Society Foundations** with which political campaigns are financed. This faction of financial entrepreneurs on the globalist agenda finances soft coups in European countries such as France, Belarus, Poland, etc. or in American countries such as Chile, Argentina, Bolivia, the United States, etc.

On its website, the Open Society Foundation highlights that it has a huge multi-billion dollar budget of 16.8 billion dollars donated by George Soros. It is obvious that this huge budget is for the financing of coups by soft states in countries with populist democracies. This is a larger budget than many countries in the world. Furthermore, they establish that their objective is to promote the establishment of populist democracies (where they can operate to generate protests and anarchy) and to fight countries with non-populist democracies (where they cannot operate to generate protests and anarchy). On their first page they say the following:

THE OPEN SOCIETY FOUNDATIONS WORK TO BUILD VIBRANT AND INCLUSIVE DEMOCRACIES WHOSE GOVERNMENTS ARE ACCOUNTABLE TO THEIR CITIZENS.

#### HOW WE WORK

Every year, the Open Society Foundations give thousands of grants to groups and individuals who promote our values—through a unique network that is guided by local voices and global expertise.

#### **\$16.8B** TOTAL EXPENDITURES

Over the past three decades, our giving has focused on supporting people who are trying to make their communities fairer, freer, and more harmonious.

#### **\$1.2B** 2020 BUDGET

Our decentralized and bottom-up approach allows us to focus on long-term strategies while also remaining nimble and flexible enough to respond to sudden crises.

#### **50,000+** TOTAL GRANTS AWARDED

Our grants—ranging from a few thousand to hundreds of thousands of dollars—are awarded to organizations, scholars, and activists in over 120 countries.

[Learn more about how we work](#)

#### WHAT WE DO

The Open Society Foundations are active in more than 120 countries around the world. Our national and regional foundations and thematic programs give thousands of grants every year toward building inclusive and vibrant democracies.

- [Democratic Practice](#)
- [Early Childhood and Education](#)
- [Economic Equity and Justice](#)
- [Equality and Antidiscrimination](#)

- [Health and Rights](#)
- [Higher Education](#)
- [Human Rights Movements and Institutions](#)
- [Information and Digital Rights](#)
- [Journalism](#)
- [Justice Reform and the Rule of Law](#)

About this see:

<https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org>

2. There are other types of entrepreneurs that are high-tech. Its windfall profits depend on the extent of its markets abroad. Such is the case of automobile, pharmaceutical, internet companies (Facebook, Twitter, Amazon), computers and smartphones (Microsoft, Apple, etc). These types of entrepreneurs are interested in maintaining the globalist agenda because that is where they can extract the maximum of their profits, although those interests may be contrary to the best interests of their country and their people. For example, these entrepreneurs make a profit by moving their firms to foreign countries with the lowest wages and their currencies undervalued below the equilibrium exchange rate. Such is the case of China and Mexico. Once they move to foreign countries, they sell their products in their native country without having to pay tariffs because they are covered by trade agreements that prevent the imposition of tariffs. In this way, they can sell their products in their native country, increasing their profits by paying lower salaries, a favorable exchange rate with the dollar, and paying less corporate taxes in the foreign country. They also make additional profit by selling their product in the foreign country without having to pay duties. In China they expand their market to a population of 1,600 million and in Mexico to a population of 127 million.

As you can see, the profit maximization of these entrepreneurs that promote the globalist agenda represents a private interest that goes against the best interests of their native country. Her native country sees its sources of employment move to other countries at the same time that it goes into

debt importing foreign goods from those countries on which it cannot impose tariffs to protect its domestic industry because trade agreements prevent it.

These entrepreneurs also support the globalist agenda that promotes mass emigration from third world countries to developed countries. In this way they lower their production costs in their own country by employing emigrants who work for lower wages. This is the case of Facebook, which has been accused by the United States Department of Justice for maintaining a deliberate policy of not hiring workers who are citizens of the United States to hire immigrants who are not citizens. The reason for this behavior is that workers with citizenship are more expensive than migrant workers who are not citizens of the United States.

In contrast to the entrepreneurs belonging to the Globalist Agenda are the entrepreneurs belonging to the Nationalist Agenda that defends the reduction in the trade deficit of the United States with China, Mexico, Canada, etc. by negotiating to establish fair exchange rates that increase US exports or otherwise the imposition of tariff barriers to protect domestic industry and job losses abroad.

Politicians who are defenders of the Nationalist Agenda who advocate for the defense of national interests do not receive funding from companies that support the Globalist Agenda. But worse still, they are no longer supported by agricultural companies that sell their products to China and Mexico.

As a consequence of the above, the developed countries of Europe and the United States are losing their high-tech companies that move to China and Mexico where technology is stolen while at the same time they specialize in the production of agricultural goods for export towards China and Mexico. As a result, trade relations are reversed and the developed countries of Europe and the United States that previously exported industrial goods to third world countries and imported agricultural products and raw materials from third world countries, now importing high-tech goods and exporting agricultural goods and raw materials.

As a consequence of the above, it happens that before, the countries of Europe and the United States maintained a surplus in their trade balance with the third world countries, while the third world countries maintained deficits. Now it is the other way around. Third world countries maintain a surplus with the United States and developed countries a deficit in their trade balance.

To make matters worse, agricultural entrepreneurs and entrepreneurs who produce raw materials who cannot or find it difficult to relocate their companies to third world countries to benefit from cheap labor, make their profits from exports of United States to China and Mexico.

Consequently, agricultural and raw materials entrepreneurs in the United States do not support and do not finance politicians who promote the Nationalist Agenda since the tariff rates that they use to protect local industry and their jobs and protect themselves from the deficit in the trade balance are against the interests of farmers and entrepreneurs who produce raw materials for third world countries like China and Mexico.

In other words, the war between the Globalist Agenda and the Nationalist Agenda will be won by the former as long as the populist democracies in Europe and America persist. China has the

enormous advantage that it has a non-populist democracy. Consequently, soft coup advocates like George Soros and Michael Bloomberg cannot subvert order and promote soft coups in China because they do not allow it. This means that in the long term, China ends up achieving economic and military hegemony over the countries of Europe and the United States, whose political system allows these companies to subvert the social order to maximize their profits.

This immoral activity of entrepreneurs that increase their profits by promoting and financing soft coups in populist democracies is recognized and accepted by themselves who claim that while these ways of doing business are not regulated by means of legislation, they have no alternative but to keep applying them to maximize their profits. In an interview by the Sixty Minutes journalist with George Soros, he confessed that he is a player in a world where there are other players and that as long as there are no laws regulating this practice, he has no choice but to compete with other financial companies that they do the same. In this interview, Soros confesses that, being a Jew, he handed over to the Nazis in Hungary, his native country, other Jewish families who were to be sent to concentration camps in Germany to be exterminated in the gas chambers, while he keeps his properties. When the journalist asks him if he does not regret those actions, Soros answers him that he does not, because if he did not do, however others were going to do it. When the journalist asks him if he doesn't believe in God, Soros replies that he doesn't.

On this matter see interview with Soros in:

#### **Is George Soros a Sociopath?**

In these excerpts from a buried 60 minutes interview George Soros explains that "I am there to make money. I cannot and do not look at the social consequences of what I do." He also describes his experiences at age 14 with his Jewish identity hidden in Nazi Germany, where he helped to confiscate property from other Jews. In tapes and video here Soros describes this as "one of the happiest times" of his life... "a very happy making exhilarating experience..."

*George Soros:* "Well, I *am a player* and I think all *players* should be regulated. There have to be rules of the game."

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SGWizajL7tA>

At this time Soros and Bloomberg, by financing politicians in Europe who promote LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism, have a large part of them in government positions eating out of their hand. Consequently, Soros and Bloomberg can get these politicians to establish policies to impose economic sanctions on those countries that have not yet fallen into their clutches because they do not allow the spread of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism within their borders. Such is the case of the economic sanctions that the European Union imposes on countries like Hungary and Poland for not allowing LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism to subvert the social order within their borders and organize soft coups in their countries. These European Union policies are not European Union policies but Soros and Bloomberg policies. They are not European Union policies because they do not represent the interests of the European Union or the politicians who establish them, but rather the economic interests of Soros and Bloomberg. Politicians are mere puppets led by these types of businessmen. In this way, Soros and Bloomberg employ the countries of the European Union



that have already fallen to the soft coups that they organize and whose politicians are their puppets, to impose sanctions on the countries that have not yet fallen under the coup soft that they organize. They do the same with Russia and Belarus.

We should not be surprised if ever these countries that try to prevent LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism from operating within their borders declare Soros and Bloomberg as terrorists dedicated to subverting the social order and generating soft coups.

Apart from promoting LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism, companies belonging to the Globalist Agenda promote an unlimited emigration from third world countries to first world countries. This emigration reduces wages and increases the size of the population, which in turn increases their profits by lowering production costs and increasing the size of their markets. Furthermore, this migration is consistent with LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. There is no way that two men or two women, no matter how hard they try, can procreate children. There is no way that a people who practice abortion to the point of maintaining population growth rates below 2.0 can avoid its eventual extinction and disappearance. In Europe and the United States, the population growth rate of the indigenous population is 1.6. Consequently, the increase in homosexual behavior promoted by LGBTI gender ideology, as well as the increase in abortions, promoted by radical feminism, reduces the population growth rate below 2.0, which in turn generates a reduction and aging of the autochthonous population of Europe and the United States, putting them on the path to their eventual extinction. To replace the autochthonous population of Europe and the United States that will have to reach extinction by mathematical logic, it is necessary that the autochthonous population be replaced by emigrants from the third world with growth rates greater than 2.0.

The following video presents the sanctions that the European Union imposes on Hungary and Poland for not allowing LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism to be freely promoted within their borders and not allowing their native population to be replaced by an emigrant population from the third World countries:

**Battle of values: EU Parliament recommends sanctions against Hungary**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w4yvax9uHg0>

In the following video you can see how Bloomber underhandedly promotes LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism among high-ranking politicians in Europe and the United States. In this way they recruit women who make up more than 50% of the electorate and defenders of homosexuality with which to generate protests and mild coups.

In addition, in the following video Michael Bloomberg mocks the intelligence of audiences, masses and crowds by employing an artist in a monologue with special effects to indoctrinate them in promoting the Globalist Agenda. Later, Bloomberg has the English Prime Minister Theresa May recite his pamphlet on the Globalist Agenda, handling her like a puppet, when the real business consists of financing the political campaigns of politicians who, after they win the elections, will provide them with privileged information or establish policies with which to make their investments and obtain large profits. Later Bloomberg introduces multiple figures to defend

the Globalist Agenda that includes the promotion of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism from which he gets a large number of voters to vote for the politicians he finances.

About this see:

### **Bloomberg Global Business Forum 2018**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DBakRIcwiEs>

At this point Soros has not only succeeded in placing the politicians of the Democratic Party that he finances in the positions of Government of the United States, but he is doing the same with the politicians in the Republican Party who are starting to eat from his hand.

The interests of the businessmen belonging to the Globalist Agenda are to continue persecuting Russia to accept LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism and thus be able to generate the same soft coups that have been generated with the countries of Europe and the United States. In addition, the interests of the Globalist Agenda are to expand trade with China because, as we have explained, that is where they can maximize their profits. But those personal interests are against the national interests on the national security of the countries of Europe and the United States. If the interests of the businessmen who defend the Globalist Agenda prevail and China ends up obtaining, copying or/and stealing the technology of the companies of the European Union and the United States, then China will reach the same productivity as the United States in a term of 20 to 30 years. This will imply that between 2040 and 2050 China would be the first economic power and, therefore, military by a margin of 5 to 1, as we will show in the next section.

### The National Security Of The United States And Europe Is In The Hands Of The Entrepreneurs Of The Globalist Agenda

At this moment the national security of the countries of Europe and the United States is in danger because the economic interests of the businessmen belonging to the Globalist Agenda are in conflict with the interests of national security of the countries of Europe and the United States. China has realized this and has capitalized it very well to its advantage by placing spies in the institutions run by politicians financed by businessmen belonging to the Globalist Agenda.

The Director of National Intelligence of the United States, Mr. John Ratcliffe, has said that the growth of the Chinese economy threatens the economic hegemony of the United States and with it its national security. On this particular see:

DNI Ratcliffe warns China ‘intends to dominate the US

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DPiFLI8U2hU>

Why is what Ratcliffe says correct and should be cause for concern? China has about 5 times the population of the United States. China has a population of about 1.5 billion and the United States 327 million. If China were to achieve the same productivity as the United States by copying or reproducing or stealing the technological capacity of the United States, it would happen that China would have an economic power approximately 5 times that of the United States and, consequently, a military capacity 5 times greater than that of the United States. This means that, if the United States has 10 aircraft carriers, China would have 50 aircraft carriers, if the United States has 60 atomic-capable submarines, China would have 300 atomic-capable submarines, etc. In other words, there would be no way the United States could win a conventional weapons war against China. In other words, a war that does not involve mutual self-destruction and the extinction of life on the planet. Furthermore, the relatively small naval force that the United States would have compared to China's would be of no use to it, since China's would prevent it from being able to dock its ships in the eastern countries that are its allies (Japan, South Korea, Australia, etc.) and consequently the relatively small US naval force would not be able to approach the shores of China. On the contrary, China would reign over the seas and could attack the United States from the Pacific or Atlantic coast. The same would happen with the countries of Europe.

Under these circumstances, the only way in which the countries of Europe and the United States could sustain a conventional war with China would be by land, since that would nullify or neutralize China's naval power. In other words, the only way in which the countries of Europe and the United States could defend themselves from a conventional war with China would be for Russia, as a European country belonging to Western culture, to become part of NATO, since the Russian borders surround to China. Consequently, Europe and the United States should be trying to recruit Russia as a NATO member. On the contrary, we see that the foreign policy of the countries of Europe and the United States is, on the one hand, to attack and economically sanction Russia and, on the other hand, to promote trade rapprochement with China. The reason why there is such a contradictory policy in Europe and the United States is because that is the policy that suits businessmen who promote the Globalist Agenda to maximize their profits.

In 1972, US President Richard Nixon, taking advantage of China's enmity with Russia, decided to become an ally of China and open US trade with China to strengthen China against the Soviet Union, which at that time was the greatest threat to the national security of the United States. Today that situation has completely changed the other way around. China is now the greatest threat to both the national security of the United States and Europe, and Russia is its best potential ally. In 1972 China had a productivity that was 46 times lower than that of the United States. At this moment, China has a productivity 6 to 7 times lower than that of the United States.<sup>1</sup> That is, today, after 48 years in which the United States opened its foreign trade with China, that country is the second economy in the world with a GDP of approximately 13 trillion while the GDP of the United States is approximately 20 trillion. When China reaches the same productivity as the United States, it will have a GDP roughly 5 times that of the United States. In other words, if the United States' GDP were 20 trillion, China's would be 100 trillion. Under such

---

<sup>1</sup> In 1972, China's per capita GDP was \$132 and that of the United States was \$6,094. As a result, the United States had a productivity roughly 46 times that of China. In 2019 China's per capita GDP was \$10,262 and that of the United States was \$65,281. Consequently, the United States has a productivity approximately 6.36 times that of China.

circumstances, the United States would lose economic hegemony and, consequently, military hegemony that would become that of China by a wide margin.

At this time, entrepreneurs belonging to the Globalist Agenda have managed to successfully generate soft coups in the countries of Europe and the United States by financing politicians who defend and promote LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. Consequently, both in Europe and in the United States, the entrepreneurs of the Globalist Agenda have the politicians in Europe and the United States eating out of their hands. These politicians, following the interests of businessmen belonging to the Globalist Agenda, establish a foreign policy of persecution of Russia and commercial rapprochement with China that is harmful to the extent that it contributes to China reaching within 20 or 30 years the productivity of Europe and the United States and thus become an unbeatable military rival. In other words, while the entrepreneurs of the Globalist Agenda help China to achieve the same productivity as Europe and the United States, at the same time they are dedicated to persecuting who could be our main ally in NATO to face a conventional war with China once reached the same productivity as Europe and the United States. Furthermore, by insisting on pursuing Russia as the main enemy of Europe and the United States instead of China and insisting on pushing Russia into an alliance with China, the countries of Europe and the United States build the most formidable enemy they can have to face in 20 or 30 years. And all this is happening because the interests of the businessmen belonging to the Globalist Agenda are contrary to the interests of the national security of Europe and the United States and the former have taken possession of politicians in Europe and the United States through soft coups. It is time to regulate these businessmen so that they cannot decide the foreign policy of the countries.

What can be done to prevent entrepreneurs who promote the Globalist Agenda, LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism from imposing their interests on the populist democracies of Europe and the United States, generating the fall of Western civilization and the rise of Eastern civilization in a term of 20 to 30 years. Let's see.

### What To Be Done?

What can be done to reverse this process that leads in the long run to the downfall of Western culture and the boom of Eastern culture? Two things. First, populist democracies, who are easily manipulated by this type of immoral business activity, must be eradicated and replaced by non-populist democracies that do not put Western culture countries at a disadvantage with Eastern culture countries. Secondly, it is necessary to establish a Common Market among the countries of Western culture that can compete in population with the countries of Eastern culture.

What enables China to surpass the economic and military power of the United States is the size of its population and the steady increase in its productivity over the past 48 years. What the United States can do to not lose economic and military hegemony is to protect its technology so that it cannot be easily stolen and to establish a common market with countries with which it can establish common defense alliances. To protect itself from the size of China's population, and consequently the size of China's economic and military power, the common market that the United States develops must have a population similar to that of China and maintain a

productivity similar to that of China. In this way, the economic and military power of the countries that make up this common market will be similar to that of China. The countries of the American hemisphere have geopolitical interests in economic development that are consistent with their common defense interests. The establishment and development of a Common Market of the Countries of the American Hemisphere would be the basis on which to establish a common defense alliance. Latin America has around 700 million inhabitants, which together with the 327 million in the United States would be around 1,027 million inhabitants to compete with the 1,500 million in China. If we add the 446 million inhabitants of the European Union to the Common Market of the American Hemisphere, we would have a common market of 1,473 million inhabitants that would equal us in population and, consequently, in economic and military resources with China.

The design of the structure of a non-populist democracy was described at the beginning of this writing.

The design of a Common Market for the American hemisphere and Europe is discussed in the document entitled:

FORMULA TO DETERMINE THE COUNTRIES EQUILIBRIUM EXCHANGE RATE WITH THE  
DOLLAR AND PROPOSAL FOR A SECOND BRETTON WOODS CONFERENCE

This document can be viewed on the internet at the address:

[www.Consultando.net/La-universidad-por-sus-fueros](http://www.Consultando.net/La-universidad-por-sus-fueros)

This Part Discusses How The Transition From A Populist Democracy To A Non-Populist Democracy Can Be Achieved In Countries Where The Globalist Agenda That Organizes Soft Coups Is Allowed To Operate And In Countries Where It Is Not Allowed To Operate

The specific way that is used to establish a non-populist democracy will depend on the characteristics of the populist democracy that one wishes to transform into a non-populist democracy. We can classify populist democracies in 3 ways.

1. Populist democracies where the ruler is a charismatic leader and exercises persuasive power over the crowds that follow him. Such is the case of Hungary, Poland, Belarus, Russia and Venezuela. Furthermore, these countries can be subcategorized into two: a) The countries where the funders of the soft coups are not allowed to operate and therefore have not taken possession of the crowd manipulation. Examples of this type of countries are Russia and Venezuela. b) The countries where the funders of the soft coups are allowed to operate and have taken possession of the manipulation of the crowds. An example of this type of country are Hungary, Poland and Belarus.
2. Populist democracies where the government does not have the power to persuade the crowds, but instead behaves as a puppet of the crowds and where the crowds are in turn manipulated by the funders of soft coups. That is to say, these are countries where the

fundamentals of soft coups have taken possession of the crowds by manipulating public opinion. Examples of this type of country are Chile, Argentina and France.

3. Populist democracies where the ruler has leadership, but not enough so that he can exert persuasive power over the crowds and where crowds are in turn manipulated by funders of soft coups. In other words, in these countries the crowds are under the control of those who finance the soft coups. An example of this type of country is the United States under Donald Trump and Joe Biden.

In any of the 3 types of countries, the ruler must remain in power long enough to consolidate the establishment of that non-populist democracy, say 15 or 20 years. Consequently, the ruler must endeavor to establish the conditions for the first 30 parliamentarians elected by the people to be elected for a term of 30 years. Those 30 parliamentarians, including the ruling leader, would appoint the president of Parliament. The President of Parliament would be the ruler of the country in question. In such a case, if the elections were every 5 years and 5 parliamentarians were elected, it would take 3 to 4 electoral periods, that is, 15 to 20 years, to remove the President of Parliament, by changing the philosophical composition of the members of Parliament. It is to be expected that in a term of 15 to 20 years the leader or ruler will be able to consolidate the establishment of non-populist democracy and the country's Constitution on which non-populist democracy is founded.

The first way a populist democracy could be exchanged for a non-populist democracy is when the ruler is a charismatic leader and exercises persuasive power over the crowds that follow him. Right now, there are several countries with populist democracies that have charismatic leaders and that could therefore establish a non-populist democracy. Such is the case of Aleksandr Lukashenko, in Belarus or Vladimir Putin in Russia or Nicolás Maduro in Venezuela. In the case of Russia, it is a populist democracy that functions as a non-populist democracy due to Putin's charismatic leadership. In Russia, Putin has not allowed LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism to manipulate the opinion of the masses and organize protests. To date, Putin has managed to get the people to back him in this policy. But Putin will eventually retire and when that happens Russia's populist democracy will be taken over by politicians funded by the Globalist Agenda. Consequently, eventually Russia will fall into their hands. Putin should not miss the historic opportunity he has to bequeath to his country a political system based on a non-populist democracy. All the charismatic leader would have to do is persuade the people to pass a new constitution that establishes a 30-member parliament elected by the people and who would act as representatives of the people.

In the event that the 30 parliamentarians were elected for 15 years and in the elections every 5 years 10 parliamentarians were elected, it would take at least 2 elections, that is, 10 years, to change the majority of the members of Parliament and with it the president of Parliament. In the event that the 30 parliamentarians were elected by the people for 30 years and in the elections of every 5 years 5 parliamentarians were elected, it would take at least 3 elections, that is, 15 years, to change 50% of the members of the Parliament and 4 elections, that is 20 years, succeed in changing the majority (more than 50%) of the members of Parliament.

In order for the established non-populist Democracy to be consolidated, in the event that it is preferred to elect 30 parliamentarians for 15 years instead of 30, it should be done after 20 years. That is, for the charismatic leader to be able to consolidate non-populist democracy, the first 20 years would have to be based on a political system where parliamentarians were elected for 30 years and after 20 years then change it so that parliamentarians are elected by a period of 15 years.

The second way a non-populist democracy could be established is when conditions of anarchy and instability in a country are chaotic. In such a case, the commanders of the military corps can agree to take control of the country and establish a non-populist democracy. Such is the case of Chile, Argentina and France. In Chile at this time, President Sebastián Piñera has become a marionette of the crowds, offering and granting them everything that LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism, financed by George Soros and the promoters of the globalist agenda, manage to promote in the countries by manipulating public opinion through manipulating the mind of the masses or irrational multitudes. In France, the government of Manuel Macron cannot even pass laws to protect police officers so that they can maintain social order and law enforcement. If the next thing to come in France are protests to take funds away from the police and the military, as is the case in the United States, then France will have a serious internal and external security problem as a result of the increase in social anarchy.

The third way in which a non-populist democracy could be established is when conditions of anarchy and instability are chaotic and the ruler has leadership, but not large enough that he can exert persuasive power over the crowds. In this case, the ruler must agree with the congressmen of his party and the opposition party a pact on an alliance to establish a non-populist democracy. He must negotiate with the opposition party that lost the elections which percentage of the parliament will be made up of congressmen from the party that won the elections and which percentage will be made up of congressmen from the party that did not win the elections. For example, they could agree that, for the first 5 years, the parliament would be composed of 21 members of the party that won the elections and 9 members of the party that lost the elections. From then on, every 5 years there would be elections to elect 5 parliamentarians. In this way, the party that won the elections would have the majority of the parliament for at least 2 electoral periods, that is, 10 years. That Parliament would appoint the President of Parliament who would be the ruler of the country. The country's military leadership must approve this agreement between the majority parties so that the transition from a populist democracy to a non-populist democracy can be generated.

#### THE FATE OF DEMOCRACIES

Countries that establish non-populist democracies are expected to generate much higher rates of growth and economic development than countries that remain with populist democracies. This is so because the latter will increase their level of anarchy, corruption and economic instability to the extent that the diffusion of the globalist agenda continues to give soft coups, promoting protests of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. On the contrary, countries with non-populist democracies would maintain high levels of civic behavior and consequently high levels

of institutionality. The higher the institutional levels of a country, the higher will be its level of efficiency, productivity and economic development.

### The Fate of Democracies, The Case of China, Cuba and Venezuela

China has a political system based on a non-populist democracy and a mixed economic system partially based on a capitalist system. That is why China has one of the highest economic growth rates in the world. As a consequence, China maintains an advantage over the United States in its rate of economic growth and development that will eventually, over time, lead it to surpass the United States. In the event that the United States does not establish a non-populist democracy, they will be left behind because, even though they have a capitalist system, they would maintain a populist democracy that will put it at a disadvantage with China in the long run.

In Cuba, as in China, there is a government with a non-populist democracy. That is why Cuba has the most stable political system in the entire American hemisphere. As a consequence of this, it happens that Cuba, despite having a socialist economic system that does not allow the economic development of the country, however, does not have the economic chaos that Venezuela has, which also has a socialist economic system, but with a populist democracy. If Cuba, as China changes its socialist economic system for a capitalist market one, it would have very high growth rates like those of China and would convert, due to its political system based on a non-populist democracy with a market capitalist system, into an economic power of first order in the American continent. In this case, Cuba would become a leading economic power in the American continent because it would have a political system based on a non-populist democracy with an economic system based on a capitalist market system. That is what has happened in China where a non-populist democracy has been incorporated into the capitalist system, albeit partially. The same would happen with Venezuela, which would become a major economic power if it would change its political system for a non-populist democracy and its socialist economic system for a capitalist market one.

Venezuela has what is needed to change a populist democracy for a non-populist democracy like that of Cuba. All it has to do is write a new Constitution with 30 parliamentarians elected for 30 years and a Speaker of Parliament who would have at least 20 years to consolidate non-populist democracy and achieve the economic growth and development of the country based on an economy of capitalist market.

It is to be expected that the progress of Venezuela, Cuba, Belarus, and Russia in terms of economic growth and development will eventually lead the United States to establish a non-populist democracy and to prohibit socialism by establishing in the Constitution that the country's economic system will be the capitalist. If it does not do so, it will languish as an economic power and be surpassed by China in 20 to 30 years.

Once a non-populist democracy is established, the Parliament must establish a law that prohibits any businessman from financing political campaigns, nor politicians who promote soft coups by manipulating the mentality of the crowds. The penalty for those who insist on continuing with



this practice or type of crime should be the confiscation of funds destined for this type of subversive activity.

The Fate of Democracies, The Case of the United States: A Soft Coup and The Dismantling of the Police, Military and Intelligence Corps

The promoters of the Globalist Agenda led by George Soros, have generated a soft coup in the United States that has culminated in the overthrow of the Republican Party led by Donald Trump. In his place, Soros has put politicians from the Democratic Party led by Joe Biden, whom he has financed his political campaigns.

This mild coup has a peculiarity. A new phase in the process of generating soft coups has begun in the United States. This new phase consists of the dismantling of the police and military forces. Why is this dismantling of the police and military forces important? The police forces and the military forces are the pillars on which every government or state is based. In every country the government or the State is the institution in charge of the legal exercise of violence. The instruments with which the State exercises violence to impose the established order are the police and the military. Who has command of the police forces and the military forces becomes de facto in the State or government.

Generally, those who have command of the police and military are the politicians who win the elections and whom the police and military chiefs are committed to obeying. However, this is not always the case. There are many occasions when the military leadership decides to assume command of the nation or country. In general, this occurs when the civil political leadership is not capable of maintaining social order and chaos is generated.

It can be seen that the United States has begun a process of defunding the police (reducing its budget) and demoralizing its members. This process has no other intention than to change the objectives for which the police are established and replace it with objectives that are akin to the Globalist Agenda. The objectives for which the police forces are established are the defense of property and the security of citizens. It is necessary to change these objectives so that the police allow violent protests and the consequent creation of chaos, in a way that facilitates the generation of soft coups. To carry out the process of demoralizing the police, a new populist ideology has been developed with which to generate protests against the police and thus be able to change their objectives. We could call this new ideology "the ideology of punishment of the police and the victimization of the criminal". The purpose of this ideology is to persecute and punish police officers who try to fulfill their duty and at the same time ensure that those who commit crimes do not have to go to jail. There are many prosecutors and city mayors in the United States who are politicians financed by George Soros and who support the punishment of the police and the release of those who are imprisoned for violations of the law. This demoralizes the police and serves to bring about a change in their command leadership and their philosophy.

The strategy to dismantle the hierarchy of command of the castrenses institutions and replace them with a hierarchy that creates and supports the promoters of the Globalist Agenda consists of organizing protests to demand the withdrawal or reduction of the economic funds that constitute

the sustenance of these castrenses bodies. Today it is the police, tomorrow it will be the military. Later, the commanders of the police and military forces are removed and replaced by commanders who are akin to LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. The police will be first and eventually it will be the turn of the military forces. The hierarchy of the police and military bodies would have to agree to serve the promoters of mild coups or be replaced by other officials who are willing to defend the Globalist Agenda.

The first signs of the dismantling of the military leadership are already beginning to be seen in the United States. The appointment of recently retired General Lloyd Austin by US President-elect Joe Biden as Secretary of Defense is no accident. In all likelihood that appointment was suggested to Biden by those who financed and helped organize the protests so that he could win the election. His condition as General of the army makes it easier for him to convince the other generals under his command that they must serve the cause of the Globalist Agenda.

The Secretary of Defense himself, as a retired general, does not have to know that he is being used. Although his thought is not to carry out the work that he is going to do, little by little they will pressure him until he reaches the point of serving the cause of the Globalist Agenda or otherwise being removed and replaced by another that is willing to do the job.

We can expect that, in those cases of high-ranking officials who do not accept or resist serving the Globalist Agenda, they will be removed and replaced from their positions of command by other officers more akin to the Globalist Agenda. This process would be generated gradually, that is, in the long term. Time is what is left over for the Globalist Agenda. They form a movement that operates with long-term goals that take decades. Right now, they have taken control of the politicians of the United Nations and are not ashamed to proclaim their goals for the 2030s. In fact, they announce it that same way without any shame. On this particular see:

The General Assembly adopts the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

.....  
The new strategy will govern global development programs for the next 15 years. By adopting it, the States committed themselves to mobilizing the means necessary for its implementation through partnerships focused especially on the needs of the poorest and most vulnerable.

“We are determined to end poverty and hunger around the world by 2030, to combat inequalities within and between countries, to build peaceful, just and inclusive societies, to protect human rights and to promote equality between genders and the empowerment of women and girls, and to guarantee lasting protection of the planet and its natural resources”, stated the States in the resolution.

The underlining is ours and reflects how, hidden behind universal values of easy general acceptance, the sinister agenda of their true intentions is hidden. Who can oppose those idealistic values of universal acceptance such as ending poverty, hunger, injustice, violence and reducing environmental pollution. But behind that facade of universal values of general acceptance hides his true intentions, which are to create the conditions to maintain his business of promoting soft coups with which to continue getting rich. They talk about promoting peace in the society that is supposed to come when the protests that they promote to generate soft coups stop. The fact that the entrepreneurs of the Globalist Agenda intend to use the budget of the States or governments themselves to finance their soft coups should be highlighted when they say "the States committed to mobilize the necessary means for its implementation." That is to say, they intend to

put the states or governments to finance the soft coups that they organize with the taxes collected from the citizens.

The first military bodies to be penetrated by Soros were those that precisely should be in charge of investigating, pursuing and prosecute people who like him and his organizations are dedicated to subverting the social order. These are the intelligence agencies and the FBI. This was a very smart move by Soros. He began by penetrating and neutralizing the institutions that in the State are charged with pursuing the type of activity that he (Soros) develops. Consequently, he dismantled in the first place the agencies that should have been in charge of persecuting him and his NGO. And he did all of that from within the United States as a United States citizen. After penetrating and placing his representatives in those agencies, he used them to persecute the President-elect of the United States from the first day of his administration. Then he went on to mount a chain of civil protests for 4 consecutive years without stopping. For that, he used the organizations that he finances and, as for example, LGBTI gender ideology, radical feminism, police brutality (Black Live matter) and mass migration without borders across the southern border of the United States. Now he has started a new stage that consists of penetrating, dismantling and put the castrenses institutions of the State at their service. In this way he acquires control of the State of the most powerful nation in the world and with which he will be able to pursue and apply sanctions against all nations that resist being penetrated by LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism in the same way he uses the European Union to persecute Hungary, Poland, etc. Now that Soros has succeeded in successfully generating a soft coup in the United States the intelligence agencies and the FBI will once again be taken over by Soros.

Of all the institutions that make up the state or government, the military forces are by far the most important since whoever acquires control of the military forces acquires the state. This is so because the State or government is nothing other than the one or those who can exercise legitimate violence. Ultimately the State or government is the one that has the capacity to direct the military forces.

The United States has been the target of a mild coup that has led the promoters of the Globalist Agenda to the destruction of the history of their nation and the concept of the homeland by destroying the statues of their national heroes and the removal of the names of national heroes from schools, public buildings, military institutions, etc.

That is why we advise the leadership in the police and military bodies of other countries to be alert so as not to allow themselves to be replaced and that the same thing that happens to the United States does not happen to you. Once the United States falls and its military leadership is replaced by a leadership akin to LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism, they will prevent the military leadership of other countries from taking measures to avoid being replaced by a leadership akin to LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. It is for that reason that the military leadership in Chile, Argentina, France, and other countries must act promptly to establish a non-populist democracy, before the military leadership in the United States falls and the new leadership prevents it.

As we have already said, the military bodies are the instrument that the State has to exercise violence and impose its laws on the population. However, it happens that these military

institutions have a certain degree of independence when the military leadership does not share the same opinions with the governor. When this happens, it happens that it is the military leadership that replaces the ruler with someone who is akin to his way of thinking.

When Trump tried to recruit the military leadership to defend the nation from the protest movements that Soros financed for 4 years and that were dedicated to the burning of cities, to the destruction and looting of businesses, to the destruction of the statues of heroes and national monuments, to the attacks of the Christian churches, etc., the military leadership refused to participate. Now that same military leadership will have to confront Soros and his attempts to recruit them to defend the Globalist Agenda, LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism, or else they will be replaced by other officers who are willing to take sides. Now they will have to face Soros who is much intelligent and cunning than Trump. In a civil war there are no neutral sides. If you don't choose which side to defend, others will choose it for you.

Three things Globalists need to expand their operations globally by generating soft coups with which to place the politicians they back in government positions from which to increase their profits. The first is to overthrow governments where existing populist democracies have a strong leadership that does not allow them to use homosexuals and women to organize protests with which to generate their soft coups. Such is the case of Russia, Hungary, Poland, Belarus and Venezuela. The second is to overthrow governments where existing democracies are not populist and, consequently, do not allow them to use homosexuals and women to organize protests with which to generate their soft coups. Such is the case of China and Cuba. In order to overthrow these governments where they are not allowed to generate soft coups, the Globalist Agenda uses the countries of the European Union and the United States to impose sanctions on those countries. In this way they try to force them to accept the protests of homosexuals and women manipulated by promoters of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. The third thing is to acquire control over the mass media with which to manipulate public opinion and lead the masses or crowds that are easily manipulated through propaganda, to support the protests that they generate. With the exception of the FOX news channel, the globalist agenda controls almost all the mass media (CNN, ABC, NBC, New York Times, Washington Post, etc.).

I repeat, the Globalist Agenda that organized the mild coup in the United States is preparing to carry out its next step in the execution of the mild coup, which consists of the taking of the military bodies and the replacement of their hierarchy by whatever akin to the Globalist Agenda.

Once they achieve their objective, the persecution of all citizens and any religious institution that does not confess the postulates of the Globalist Agenda in its version of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism, will be total and absolute. The United States, the most powerful nation in the world, will have become a dictatorship. Social values will be replaced and standardized by those of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. The word of the Bible and all religious messages will be reinterpreted and modified to be in line with LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. Those who resist will be persecuted, imprisoned and/or killed.

## THE SELF-DEGENERATIVE DYNAMIC OF POPULIST DEMOCRACIES

Populist democracy does not constitute a static set of rules and laws to make a form of government viable. On the contrary, it is a set of rules and laws to make a form of government viable, which in turn generate a dynamic process of evolution of these rules and laws. The rules and laws that initiate a populist democracy generate a dynamic between the behavior of the population and that of the ruling politicians, which leads to the gradual modification and evolution of these rules and laws. This dynamic that is established in a populist democracy leads it, in the long term, to anarchy, social decomposition and its eventual disappearance and replacement by an authoritarian government. In the same way, the authoritarian government, be it a hereditary monarchy or a dictatorship imposed by arms, also constitutes a set of rules and laws that generate a dynamic process between the behavior of the authoritarian ruler and the people that leads to degeneration. and to its eventual disappearance and replacement by a populist democracy (Revolution). In other words, populist democracy, like authoritarian government, are part of a natural cycle of the political organization of societies. This cycle runs from a one-person or authoritarian political system that degenerates over time and leads to a democratic political system that degenerates over time and ends again in a one-person or authoritarian political system.

Aristotle classified political systems into 3 categories: 1. unipersonal (monarchies) 2. The government of those who have more merits or capacity to govern (Aristocracy) and 3. The government of the people (Republic). According to Aristotle the 3 types of government can be good when they are exercised according to the welfare of the collective and they can be bad when they are not exercised according to the welfare of the collective. Aristotle called the one-person or monarchical government that degenerates, tyranny, the government of the most capable or aristocracy that degenerates, oligarchy and the government of the people or republic that degenerates, democracy. That is to say, ironically the term democracy is what Aristotle used to refer to the government exercised by the people when this government degenerates and its decisions do not result in the welfare of the collective.

When we examine the history of democracies from Greece to the present, we can see that authoritarian political systems end up degenerating and becoming oppressors of the people. Then revolutionary processes emerge that lead to the establishment of a democratic political system that later degenerates and leads to an authoritarian political system. Such was the case with Athenian and Roman democracy. No democracy has lasted more than 450 years. The Athenian lasted approximately 100 years until it completely degenerated and ended up banishing politicians who were more honest or who had brought more achievements to Athens and choosing in their place the demagogues who said pleasant things to her ears and who brought Athens to its decline. Thus, the people manipulated by corrupt politicians and demagogues such as Alcibiades sent into exile the men who had done the most for Athens such as Megacles, Xanthippos (father of Pericles), Themistocles, Kimon, Aristides the just, etc. The following anecdote is told about Aristides:

*An illiterate Athenian citizen accidentally approached a citizen to write Aristides's name on the ostrakon he was holding. This guy was Aristides himself, who asked him: What harm did Aristides do to you? and he replied: no harm, but I'm tired of listening, to call him, the just. Aristides didn't reply, but he wrote his name on the shell and handed it back.*

It is believed that more than 5,000 men were exiled during the Ostracism period.

Athenian democracy also ended up executing philosophers like Socrates for telling them the truth about the negative aspects of populist democracy. Socrates pointed out that populist democracy was like setting a ship to be steered, that is, sailed by all the passengers on the ship rather than by the individuals on the ship who knew the most about navigation. He was accused of corrupting the youth, among other things and sentenced to the death penalty.

It should be noted that homosexual behavior in Athens and the Greek cities became widespread, as well as infanticide (abortion in our days) as a means of controlling population growth. Also generalized homosexual behavior took the form of pedophilia where it was normal for a mature man to practice homosexual behavior with a young child.

Roman democracy, like the Athenian, arose from the overthrow of a tyrannical government. As in Athens, with the passing of time, democracy in Rome totally degenerated. Homosexual behavior and infanticide were widespread throughout Roman society until democracy fell and was replaced by the government of the emperors. Of the first 12 emperors, 11 practiced homosexual behavior. Augustus Caesar between the years 27 BD and 14 AD spent his life trying to make Rome return to the values that had made it great. He established harsh laws that punished sexual debauchery despite the fact that he himself practiced homosexual conduct in a clear example of what it is to preach morality in underwear.

With the widespread spread of homosexual behavior, the Romans did not reproduce and depended on the recruitment of barbarian peoples for the defense of the empire. That is why Augusto established laws that severely punished men and women who did not procreate.

After Roman democracy, democracy disappeared until in 1776 it reappeared from the declaration of independence of the United States and the consequent overthrow in America of the tyrannical government of King George III of England. Democracy in the United States is spread throughout all the countries of Western culture. Democracy in the United States is approximately 250 years old and already shows serious signs of degeneration and social decay. As in Rome, not only is the pattern of growth in generalized homosexual behavior repeated, but homosexual marriage and infanticide (abortion in our days) are legalized as a means of controlling population growth. Pedophilia is not yet accepted in the United States, but it is a matter of time before it is accepted. Among the defenders of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism there are prominent theoretical exponents who defend pedophilia. Such is the case with Kate Millet (1934-2017) and Sulamith Firestone (1945-2012).

Kate Millet (1934-2017)

When Millet was asked if there can be a loving relationship between a boy and a man, she replied: "Of course, or between a girl and an older woman." According to her "part of the patriarchal family structure includes control of the sexual life of children and, beyond that, total control of children. Truly, one of the essential rights of children is to express themselves sexually, probably among them at first, but also with adults." As a consequence, age limits for children to consent to sexual intercourse with an adult should be removed.

Sulamith Firestone (1945-2012)

Firestone says that with the incest taboo gone, "if the child chose sexual intercourse with adults, even if he chose his own genetic mother, there would be no a priori reasons for her to reject his sexual advances (.. Relationships with children would include the amount of genital sexuality that the child was capable of (...)) Adult/child sexual taboos and homosexuality would disappear, as would non-sexual friendship. Any close relationship would include physical relationship ( pp. 299-301)".

On this particular see:

THE CHRISTIANITY, THE ANTICHRIST AND THE POLITICAL ELECTION

in:

<http://consultando.net/la-universidad-por-sus-fueros>

[https://www.bioeticawiki.com/Ideolog%C3%ADa\\_de\\_g%C3%A9nero](https://www.bioeticawiki.com/Ideolog%C3%ADa_de_g%C3%A9nero)

With the increase in homosexual behavior and the murder of children in the womb of their mothers (laws that legalize abortion), population growth rates in North American society, as well as in Europe, fall below 2.0. Consequently, as in Rome, the countries of Europe and the United States are ethnic populations that do not reproduce and, like the Roman, have to depend on emigration to replace the original population. In the case of Europe, they are replaced by Arab and African emigrants and in the case of the United States by Latin American emigrants.

A saying goes that peoples who do not know their history are condemned to repeat it. It is obvious that populist democracies in the contemporary world will have to lead to authoritarian governments as has happened in the past. What can we do so that the cycle does not continue to repeat itself indefinitely? The solution is to correct the defect (s) that lead populist democracies to social degeneration and decomposition and replace it with non-populist democracies that avoid social degeneration.

#### The Fall of Western Civilization and the Rise of Eastern Civilization

We can ask ourselves what were the values of Roman civilization that, when replaced by anti-values, led to the fall of their civilization? Or what are the values of the civilization of the United States and Europe that, when replaced by anti-values, threaten to lead to the downfall of their civilization? Let's see.

At the beginning of the Roman republic or democracy (509 BC), homosexual behavior among free men was prohibited and the person who practiced it was punished, even with death. For the year 300 BC populism in Roman democracy managed to begin to introduce some practices of homosexual conduct as acceptable. To the extent that Rome was replacing the value that homosexual behavior is wrong with the anti-value that homosexual behavior is correct, a process of transition of Roman society from a heterosexual society to a homosexual society began. Already by the year 27 AC Roman society practiced homosexual behavior in a generalized way. Augustus Caesar (63 AC-14 AC) lamented that Rome had lost the values that had made it great and tried throughout his life to restore Rome to the values that had made it great but was

unsuccessful. As a consequence, the population growth rate turned negative and Rome depended on the conquered barbarian peoples to defend itself. Venereal diseases proliferated, further affecting the reproduction of the Roman population. For example, it is quite possible that the condition of seizures and loss of consciousness that Julio Cesar suffered shortly before he was assassinated in the Senate had its origin in some venereal disease due to his well-known careless licentious way of practicing homosexual relations. So much so that the historian Suetonius would say about Julius Caesar: *"His customs were so unkempt and his adventures so infamous that he became known as the husband of all women and the wife of all husbands."* In spite of the fact that it is said that was epilepsy, it is known that epilepsy is a condition that occurs throughout life and not after the age of 50. A first seizure of epilepsy rarely occurs in adulthood. On this particular see, Francesco Galassi in:

[https://elpais.com/elpais/2015/04/10/ciencia/1428658327\\_819718.html](https://elpais.com/elpais/2015/04/10/ciencia/1428658327_819718.html)

There are no records of the Roman warlord suffering from epileptic seizures in his childhood. That allows me to speculate that insisting on going to the meeting with the senate, despite clear warnings of a plot to assassinate him, was actually an act of suicide due to an incurable venereal disease and Julius Caesar's latest brilliant political move to dismiss his stepson Brutus as his successor and leave Augusto Cesar behind.

It is precisely Paul who lived shortly after Augustus, who reveals to us in his writings what Rome lived in those times with the proliferation of venereal diseases as a consequence of the practice of homosexuality. Paul tells us:

*Therefore, God has abandoned them to shameful passions. Even his women have exchanged natural relationships for those that go against nature; and, in the same way, men have left their natural relations with women and burn with bad desires for each other. Men with men commit shameful acts and suffer in their own body the punishment of their perversion.*

*Epistle to the Romans, 1: 26-27, Paul of Tarsus*

The underlining is ours and it is to denote what Paul could not call venereal diseases because at that time there was no concept or scientific knowledge to know what it was about. Paul was seeing how these diseases consumed the Roman people and he tells us: and they suffer in their own body the punishment of their perversion. Paul was ordered to be beheaded by Emperor Nero.

In short, the price Rome had to pay for straying away from basic social values was negative population growth. As we have already pointed out, Augusto Cesar lamented this reality and spent his entire life trying to get the Roman family to return to the moral values that had made it great, but he did not succeed. He banished his own daughter for leading a sexually licentious life and the poet Ovid for writing books that incited women and men to be unfaithful to his partner and encouraged the disintegration of the Roman family. At that time, writers like Ovid were today's mass media (CNN, ABC, NBC, New York Time, Washington Post, etc.). At that time



there was no TV, no radio, no press, no internet, no Facebook, no Twitter, no WhatsApp, etc. The poems of people like Ovid were what people read. It is probably for this reason that Augustus treated Ovid so cruelly that he would spend the rest of his life asking him to forgive him and allow him to return to Rome. He died in exile on the last frontier of the empire, without being forgiven. Augustus promoted laws to prohibit adultery, abortion and try to make the Roman family stop avoiding children and stop practicing a hedonic life based on the pursuit of pleasure and avoiding children. He established laws to force the Romans to marry and start a family and have children. In this way, he tried to prevent the Roman population from continuing to decrease and being replaced by slaves who were brought from everywhere to work and to defend Rome as soldiers of the army. It was that same immigrant population that served in the Roman army and learned the combat tactics of the Roman culture, who would later use that knowledge to attack Rome, defeat it, and loot it, causing its fall in 476 AD. Augusto Cesar failed to return to Rome to the values of the Roman family that had made it great and Roman families continued to lead a hedonic life and import slaves from the barbarian peoples that eventually replaced the original Roman population. Augustus himself did not apply to himself the laws that he established, living a sexually licentious life while he applied his laws to others. He had sex with married women and with men, while he spent his life trying to keep others from doing it to prevent the Roman population from declining. The proliferation of venereal diseases continued. The downfall of his civilization and his empire was inevitable.

Among the people who most resisted accepting the laws established by Augustus Caesar with the purpose of returning the Roman family to the values that had made Rome great, are the wives of Roman men. The married women of the Roman families of ancestry and prestige were registered as prostitutes so that the laws of Augustus declaring conjugal infidelity a crime and establishing strong penalties of exile for that crime could not be applied to them. This was done by women in protest, as an act of rebellion against these laws, because they resisted returning to the ancient values of the Roman family. The laws of Augustus obliged men to denounce the infidelity of their wives under pain of exile. In addition, they forced men to have children with their wives.

It is easy to see why Roman women were so resistant to reestablishing the moral values of the Roman family that Augustus tried to return as a way of returning Rome to the values of the Roman family that had made it great. In the same way, it is possible to visualize why the Roman man also resisted those laws. Values are interrelated and when one value is removed and replaced by another it dislocates the functionality of the set of values that existed in the Roman family and that Augustus intuited was what had made Rome great. Already by the year 27 A.D. homosexual behavior in Rome was widespread. We can infer from this fact that married women could not be sexually satisfied when their husbands released their libido through homosexual practice, and they did not have much additional libido left with which to satisfy their wives. For that reason, it is not surprising that women practiced infidelity with other men, in addition to lesbianism as a way to satisfy her biological sexual needs. On the other hand, homosexual sex allowed the Roman man not to have children and to have to assume the paternal responsibility of raising them. Abortion allowed the woman not to have children and to be able to practice infidelity, in addition to not having to assume the maternal responsibility of raising them. Thus, all lived happily professing a hedonic life preached in the pursuit of sexual pleasure, while the Roman population declined and was replaced by foreign immigration from the barbarian peoples of Europe with low levels of civic culture. As can be seen, Augusto Cesar did not understand the

interrelationships and complementarity of the systems or set of values. Consequently, he could never succeed in returning the Roman family to the values that had made Rome great.

Rome had to wait until 390 AC so that the emperor Teodosio I prohibited the homosexual practice punishing it with the death penalty. It was no longer about the cruel punishment of exile instituted by Augustus but about the death penalty. Even so, many were executed due to the resistance of the people to abandon the homosexual practice. Only in this bloody way Theodosius I could achieve what Augustus Caesar could not throughout his entire life. Theodosius I applied the laws of Augustus to force men to have children with their wives and to strengthen the values of the family.

In the present, the United States and Europe have exchanged the Christian value "you shall not spill your semen on earth" for the value of radical feminism that the ideal family should have one to two children and therefore infanticide (abortion) must be legalized as if it were just another contraceptive method. Also, just like the Romans they have changed the Christian value that homosexual behavior is wrong by the anti-value of LGBTI gender ideology that homosexual behavior is correct. As a result, like the Romans, they have legalized gay marriage. By making this determination they do not realize that they are condemning their ethnic group to extinction from being the predominant population. Without realizing it, they are condemning themselves to be replaced as the predominant ethnic group by other emigrants' ethnic groups. In the case of the United States due to Latin American emigration and in the case of Europe due to Arab and African emigration. This occurs because when families have 1 to 2 children, the population growth rate turns negative and the loss of predominance of the original ethnic group is a matter of time, but it is inevitable. It does not occur in one generation, but it occurs after multiple generations and, as is known, the life span of peoples, as opposed to that of individuals, is indefinite. Today the position of LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism with regard to homosexuality and abortion contributes to further reducing the original population of the United States and Europe. As homosexual practice and infanticide continue to increase, negative population growth will be greater and the need for more foreign immigrant populations will be necessary to replace the increasingly negatively growing of US and European populations.

## THE TRANSITION FROM A HETEROSEXUAL SOCIETY TO A HOMOSEXUAL SOCIETY

It can be scientifically demonstrated that sexual behavior in human beings is determined by the values of culture and not by biology. Consequently, if the value of society regarding homosexual behavior is changed as incorrect and it is replaced by the social value of homosexual behavior as correct, a transition from a heterosexual society to a homosexual society begins. There is historical evidence and empirical evidence for this claim. Consequently, the indoctrination of children in schools and youth in universities in the LGBTI gender ideology leads to the homosexualization of society. That is, it leads to generalized homosexual behavior in the population. There is statistical empirical evidence that by April 2018, homosexual behavior among young people between 14 and 26 years of age has increased from 4% that it was 6 years ago to 16% today 2018. See DALIA Research company and Statista company:

<https://daliaresearch.com/counting-the-lgbt-population-6-of-europeans-identify-as-lgbt/>

<https://es.statista.com/estadisticas/543303/espanoles-con-experiencias-homosexuales-en-espana-por-grupos-de-edad/>

See also:

<https://www.yorokobu.es/estadisticas-lgtbqui/>

That is, in just 6 years, homosexual behavior among young people has quadrupled at a rate of 2% per year. In the general population that includes all ages, homosexual behavior has increased from 4% to 10%. That is, in just 6 years, homosexual behavior in the total population has more than doubled at a rate of 1% per year. These are statistical data. They are not opinions or speculation.

The LGBTI gender ideology is a fascist doctrine that aims to subvert the social order to establish a confessional state of its doctrine. It is a movement that operates internationally with the implicit purpose of homosexualizing society. Those people who do not agree with their doctrinal postulates are classified as homophobic and they are persecuted and punished. No one can express an opinion other than theirs. In his view, people who express opinions other than LGBTI gender ideology should not be allowed to speak and should be prosecuted and punished.

The LGBTI gender ideology has reached the University and threatens to destroy the University Jurisdictions, that is, freedom of expression, academic freedom and the use of scientific methodology as a legitimate way to produce scientific knowledge.

This doctrine suppresses the use of inductive or experimental scientific methodology, as well as deductive methodology, as legitimate and valid ways of regulating the discussion of ideas and of producing knowledge in the University. Just what comes out from his mouth has to be accepted without questioning or debating his approaches or ideas in a scientific way. Those who do are persecuted and punished.

This ideology has spread in all countries with populist democracies. Its goal is to replace the populist democratic state with the confessional state based on its fascist doctrine of LGBTI gender ideology. Its goal is to ensure that the institutions of the State are in charge of imposing its doctrine in a mandatory manner on the entire population. To achieve this, they have developed a strategy to take the State institutions one by one. First to the legislature and the politicians that comprise it to produce the laws that allow them to indoctrinate the population in the LGBTI gender ideology. Then to government agencies, where the first target is the Department of Education. Now it is the turn of the universities. In public schools, their goal is to indoctrinate boys and girls in the LGBTI gender ideology under the supposed guise of reducing gender-based violence. In the universities they suppress freedom of expression, academic freedom and scientific methodology as legitimate forms of discussion and knowledge creation under the same supposed disguise of reducing gender violence.

LGBTI indoctrination, as we have already pointed out, entails the homosexualization and hedonization of society. Apart from the homosexualization and hedonization of society, the indoctrination of the population in the LGBTI gender ideology through state agencies equals to the acceptance of a Fascist LGBTI society and a confessional state of LGBTI gender ideology.

### The Role of Women in the Agenda of LGBTI Gender Ideology and Radical Feminism

To achieve the objective that politicians in populist democracies pass laws that facilitate the indoctrination of the population in different countries, the LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism have developed a very effective strategy. Women constitute more than 50% of the electorate in the countries. Consequently, they have developed propaganda in all countries aimed at convincing women that violence against them is due to the fact that the population is not educated in the LGBTI gender ideology. In addition, they maintain a massive propaganda campaign to convince the population that violence against women is growing more and more in alarming proportions as a consequence of the macho attitudes of men and that this is also due to the fact that the population is not educated. population, especially children in schools and young people in universities, in the LGBTI gender ideology. In the same way, they have convinced women that sexual harassment has grown and that it constitutes a threat to them and that this is also due to the fact that the population is not educated, especially children in schools and young people in schools. universities, in the LGBTI gender ideology. According to this doctrine, all these problems are due to the fact that men are educated in toxic macho attitudes that must be eradicated by educating the new generations in the LGBTI gender ideology. All this is totally false, as the statistics show, but what matters is that women believe it and are willing to support politicians with their votes to declare a national emergency of violence against women. The national emergency declaration is intended to serve as the basis and justification for the production of legislation that promotes the LGBTI gender ideology in society. Especially that legislation aimed at making the teaching of LGBTI gender ideology compulsory for children in schools and young people in universities. To the extent that they have succeeded in projecting themselves as defenders of women, to that extent they have succeeded in getting politicians in different countries to produce the laws that allow them to take possession of government or state agencies in each country. Examples of this are the United States, Canada, Spain, Germany, England, etc. where LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism have made great strides in repressive fascist legislation against men, Christian families, and Christian churches that refuse to accept this aberrant doctrine.

The problem with this doctrine is that it is fascist and therefore does not allow dissenting thought or freedom of expression. Historical experience tells us that fascist movements end up generating war between countries. As soon as this doctrine manages to take the total position of the State in Puerto Rico, Chile, Argentina, Colombia, Peru, Mexico, France, the United States, Canada, Germany, England, Spain, etc., it is a matter of time before they want to impose their doctrine fascist to other countries that, like Russia or China, resist declaring themselves confessional states of the LGBTI gender ideology. In such a case, the third world war will be inevitable.

If women allow themselves to be manipulated by LGBTI gender ideology, the family in Puerto Rico, in the United States and throughout the world as we know it will be destroyed to give way

to the concept of hedonistic family preached by the LGBTI gender ideology and that Augustus Caesar could not eradicate no matter how hard he tried, eventually generating the fall of Rome.

If Puerto Rico, the United States, and the entire world ignore history, they will be condemned to repeat it. This means that, when homosexual behavior in the United States is generalized throughout the population, as was the case in Rome, and the percentage of homosexuals in the population with the right to vote is more than 50%, it must be the case that, as in the case of Rome, of every 12 presidents that the United States has, 11 will be homosexual. Under such circumstances we should expect the United States to try to impose on other countries its hedonistic vision based on LGBTI gender ideology and radical feminism. Then a third and final world war would ensue. All this was reported more than 5 years ago to the then President of the United States Barak Obama, by letter. However, his response was to reaffirm his policy of promoting homosexuality not only in the United States but also in other countries of the world. On this particular see letters in:

<http://partidobolivarianodepuertorico.com/carta-al-presidente-de-estados-unidos>